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Abstract

Introduction: Sleep apnea disorder brings about negative effects to the quality of life of the people involved. Debilitation of cognitive functioning is one of the consequences of this condition. However, scant researches have been carried out to explore the efficacy of cognitive therapy in mitigating the clinical symptoms and cognitive functions of the brain. By combining the cognitive method and medical treatment, this research aims at comparing the effectiveness of Continuous Positive Air Pressure (CPAP) therapy and Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment (CBT) in improving mental cognitive functions among patients with sleep apnea disorder.

Methods: The study population included all patients who referred to the sleep disorders clinic in Tehran. Our sample consisted of 45 subjects who were randomly allocated into three groups of 15 individuals (CPAP, combined treatment, and control). All three groups were psychologically assessed prior to the intervention. Next, individuals in the cognitive-behavioral group underwent CPAP and 12 sessions of cognitive training, progressive muscle relaxation, mental visualization, and sleep hygiene. The other group went through CPAP therapy and the third group was also assessed. After the intervention, all groups were again psychologically assessed. Data collection instruments included Wisconsin Cognitive Software, semantic and complex Stroop, continuous performance, and polysomnography and CPAP devices.

Results: The results indicated that both types of intervention can enhance cognitive functioning. However, a greater efficacy is obtained by combining the two methods compared with the exclusive application of medical treatment.
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Introduction

As one of the most important natural and biological cycles of human beings, sleep is featured by a complex pattern. One normally applies the concept of sleep to refer to the effects associated with two circadian (24 hours) and balancing processes such as the adequacy of nocturnal sleep, sleep quantity, and daytime sleepiness.

Investigating sleep-related problems gains relevance for two reasons: First, such complaints are very common among people; second, low sleep quality is considered as an indicator of many psychological diseases[1]. In contrast, good quality sleep is a sign of mental and physical regeneration of individuals since cellular repair and mental health are dependent on sleep.

Apnea is defined as the cessation of breathing, especially during sleep, which lasts for more than 10 seconds. Three types of apnea have been identified: Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA), referring to the blockage of the upper airway; Central Sleep Apnea (CSA), caused by
brain problems; and mixed sleep apnea that combines the features of previous two types. The prevalence of sleep apnea in the general population is about 2-4%. CSA is mostly found in older people, individuals with heart diseases, or those with stroke-related illnesses. CSA, which is less common, occurs when the brain cannot give order to the breathing muscles to start respiration. On the other hand, OSA, which is more common, happens when the air does not flow into or out of the nose and mouth, despite the efforts of an individual or his/her breathing muscles for respiration. The main cause of CSA can be attributed to the fact that tissues around the throat relax during sleep, fall on one another, and cause obstruction. This obstruction takes place at a faster pace in people who are affected by OSA compared with normal individuals. Mixed sleep apnea is a combination of the previous two types of apnea. OSA occurs more frequently in obese and middle-aged people, but it can happen at any age as well.

Appearing between two apnea attacks, snoring is more often than not associated with sleep apnea. Possibly, 20 to 30 apnea attacks occur every one hour. In addition, patients may complain of choking during sleep, morning headaches and drowsiness, and daily dizziness. It is vital to diagnose and treat sleep apnea because the problem can lead to high blood pressure, stroke and heart attack, as well as heart and lung failure. If air does not enter or exit the lungs, one may be faced with an increase in the level of blood carbon dioxide and a decline in blood oxygen levels. These changes cause the person to wake up and as a result the throat muscle relaxation is reduced and the airway opens again allowing the air to flow. Even though such frequent awakenings perpetuate breathing, they deprive the patient from having a deep sleep. Sleep apnea is diagnosed in sleep laboratories with the help of polysomnogram, and can be treated using a variety of surgical and non-surgical procedures, depending on the severity and cause of the condition [2].

According to some researchers, the first damage caused by poor sleep emerges in the ability of brain to plan and organize cognitive functions [3]. Sleep apnea is a common disorder with far-reaching implications for health and it is one of the major consequences of cognitive impairment [4]. Apnea leads to a negative effect on inductive and deductive reasoning, attention, alertness, learning [5], one’s performance in the revised version of Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale [4], psychomotor vigilance task [6, 7], repetitive finger-tapping test [8] as well as impaired concentration, problem-solving, and verbal and spatial short-term memory [9]. The prevalence of sleep apnea in adult men is about 3-7% and nearly 2-5% for adult women [10].

In addition to physical factors underlying sleep disorder, one can point to some psychological roots of this condition including emotional factors, dysfunctional beliefs and attitudes concerning sleep [11][12], as well as behavioral factors such as inadequate sleep hygiene.

People with sleep apnea, due to frequent waking, are unable to enter the phase of Rapid Eye Movement (REM), which is necessary for a good sleep [13]; therefore, they experience mental health problems such as anxiety and memory complications. This is because dreams are an opportunity for processing everyday events and a means of memory retention [14]. They provide a ground for long-term goals, everyday interactions and discharge of anxious emotions.

Only a few studies have evaluated the behavioral interventions designed to improve CPAP use, with the findings somewhat equivocal. Cognitive behavioral approaches based on cognitive therapy have recently been applied to improve adherence to CPAP [15]. Cognitive theory relates to how humans think and choose. Perceptions and expectations derived from past experiences influence how a person acts. Similarly, provision of accurate information enhances new learning experiences and can correct irrational beliefs through exposure to positive stimuli [16]. In this regard, recognizing the core beliefs is a key component that determines one’s ability to accomplish future behaviors. To achieve this, realistic goals are set and positive experiences are shared by patients (real CPAP users) [17]. Previous research with a small randomized intervention (n=12) based oneself-efficacy and decisional balance found improved adherence to CPAP [18].

A cohort study on 4 million American soldiers revealed that 118 thousand individuals had gone through sleep apnea along with other disorders such as depression (21.8%), post-traumatic stress (11.9%) anxiety (16.7%), psychosis (5.1%), and bipolar disorder (3.3%) [19, 20]. Bardwell et al. (2003) reported that after controlling severe sleep apneas, the subjects showed symptoms of depression and fatigue. Hence, they suggested that in addition to controlling this disorder, one has to take psychological symptoms and mood complications into account as well [21]. It is worth noting that depression causes the most prevalent conditions in subjects with sleep apnea [22].

It is also shown that anxiety and depression decreases as a result of Continuous Positive Air Pressure (CPAP), but fatigue might be still there and the treatment may not improve it. In fact, intense apnea disorder is associated with the increase of anxiety and depression. Aloia et al. (2003) in their research on the cognitive effects of apnea and treatment with continuous positive air pressure demonstrated that consciousness increases after treatment, but there still remains a weakness in terms of cognitive abilities and mental performance. In other words, many cognitive deficiencies cannot be compensated by medical care alone [23].

In their follow-up study, Bourke et al. (2011) observed a decrease in the level of anxiety, depression, and psychological distress after treatment; nevertheless, not that much improvement was found in cognitive function tests. Such improvement requires a longer treatment period [24]. Bourke reported that some cognitive symptoms can be treated but this will not lead to improvement in mood and affection symptoms [24]. The use of ECT after treatment by CPAP is evidence indicating that some of the cognitive functions and symptoms (e.g. depression) cannot be completely improved through CPAP. After treatment with CPAP, the symptoms of mental...
weakness (psychasthenia), evaluated by MMPI-2 test, remained unchanged [12].

In their samples who were treated with CPAP and were mentally trained, Golay et al. (2006) also observed a considerable progress in improved quality of life compared to the group without training [25]. The faith-based model to health, which emphasizes patients’ mental experience of health and their beliefs, produces more stable treatment effects [26].

Therefore, due to the severity of cognitive side effects and considering the important role of cognitive disabilities in the course of this condition, we employed, in addition to medical treatment, the cognitive-behavioral approach as a combination therapy to improve executive functions of patients. The hypothesis of this research is “Can CPAP and CBT be used, as a long-term treatments to prevent the recurrence of impairment?”

**Methods**

This case study is a quasi-experimental research with pretest and post-test design and a control group. Research population included 270 patients who referred to sleep disorders clinics in Tehran in 2016. To conduct the study, 45 patients with sleep apnea were chosen using purposive sampling and were assigned to control and experimental groups. The participants’ demographic and clinical data are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>Medical</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>48.42±6.24</td>
<td>46.32±7.44</td>
<td>47.91±9.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>29.42±5.90</td>
<td>29.67±5.20</td>
<td>29.84±5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (n (%))</td>
<td>5 (16.7 %)</td>
<td>4 (15.2 %)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>1 (3.3 %)</td>
<td>1.2 (3.5 %)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia (n (%))</td>
<td>5 (16.7 %)</td>
<td>6 (17.7 %)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean hours used/night</td>
<td>5h.20±1.35</td>
<td>6h.10±1.32</td>
<td>5h.40±1.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The criteria for inclusion were as follows: maximum age of 60 years, no psychotic and personality disorder, no substance abuse, lack of sleep medications, observing ethical principles, and willingness to cooperate. We implemented Wisconsin software, semantic stroke, emotional stroke and continuous performance.

The Wisconsin Card Classification Test is one of the most well-known neurological tests that measures abstract reasoning, cognitive flexibility, endurance, problem solving, and attention maintenance. This test was first developed by Berg (1948) and was revised by Heaton et al. (1993) [27].

The emotional stroop test’s reliability was calculated at 0.92, and kappa coefficient was reported 0.83 [28]. The reliability of semantic stroop was evaluated to be 83.5 [29]. The construct validity of CPT was assessed 0.87 and the reliability of the test was 0.89 [30].

CBT-I was administered in a group setting with an experienced psychologist. The treatment lasted for seven sessions (90 min each) over 9 weeks, and in each session we taught some psychological methods to our patients, including standard CBT-I and sleep hygiene, stimulus control, relaxation techniques, and sleep restriction.

In polysomnography, the following variables were systematically monitored: electroencephalogram (C3/A2, C4/A1, Fpz/ A1-A2, O1/A1), 2 electro-oculograms (right and left eye), chin and leg electromyograms, electrocardiogram (modified V2 lead), and a body position sensor. Respiration was monitored with a nasal cannula pressure transducer, an oral thermistor, a neck microphone, thoracic and abdominal piezoelectric belts, and finger pulse oximetry. The severity of OSA was determined through the results of the PSG.

CPAP is an accepted treatment that improves neurocognitive performances and survival rates [31]. However, the treatment has high efficacy but low effectiveness, with refusal rates for adherence ranging from 5% to 50% in the first week to 6 months [32]. Continued CPAP usage is best associated by reduced somnolence [33].

**Results**

Table 2 provides the average pretest and post-test scores in the experimental and control groups. As can be seen, compared with the control group, the post-test scores of the experimental groups have been improved in all indices.

To examine the differences in the linear combination of dependent variables in the experimental and control groups, we drew on Wilks’ Lambda multivariate test (Table 3). The results of all four tests showed that after controlling the pretest factor, there was a significant difference in the linear combination of dependent variables; hence, the group factor was seen to have a significant impact.

Table 4 illustrates the results of the intergroup effect test which can be used to examine the differences between each dependent variable. The results of Table 4 show that the mean scores of post-test have been significantly changed in all of the dependent variables except for the index of “correct responses (phase 2)“.

Having observed that the F value has been significant in all of the related indices except for that of “correct answers (phase 2),” we next used the Bonferroni post hoc test for the other 17 indices whose F value were significant in order to determine those post-test scores of the groups that had gone through significant changes. In other words, the Bonferroni test was employed so as to make a mutual comparison between the experimental and control groups.
Table 2. Mean and SD of research variables in three groups in the post-test and follow up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Combination Group</th>
<th>Medical Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>Follow up</td>
<td>Post-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop Test</td>
<td>Correct answers (phase 1)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correct answers (phase 2)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correct answers (phase 3)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (phase 1)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (phase 2)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (phase 3)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Stroop test</td>
<td>Correct answers (list of related words)</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correct answers (list of unrelated words)</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (list of related words)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (list of unrelated words)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance test</td>
<td>Average reaction time</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Error of commission</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Error of omission</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Completed classes</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Classification Test</td>
<td>Preservation error</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>12.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other errors</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Failure to maintain a sequence</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attempt to complete the first pattern</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>14.66</td>
<td>17.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Multivariate tests (Wilks’ Lambda statistics)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Eta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stroop</td>
<td>8.996</td>
<td>.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Stroop</td>
<td>18.210</td>
<td>.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>21.140</td>
<td>.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Classification</td>
<td>13.270</td>
<td>.668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Intergroup effect test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Eta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stroop Test</td>
<td>Correct answers (phase 1)</td>
<td>3.586</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correct answers (phase 2)</td>
<td>2.745</td>
<td>.078</td>
<td>.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correct answers (phase 3)</td>
<td>4.963</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (phase 1)</td>
<td>21.672</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (phase 2)</td>
<td>27.528</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (phase 3)</td>
<td>52.080</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Stroop test</td>
<td>Correct answers (list of related words)</td>
<td>54.602</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correct answers (list of unrelated words)</td>
<td>32.107</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (list of related words)</td>
<td>25.907</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (list of unrelated words)</td>
<td>28.547</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance test</td>
<td>Average reaction time</td>
<td>74.160</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Error of commission</td>
<td>23.476</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Error of omission</td>
<td>11.719</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Completed classes</td>
<td>7.773</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Classification test</td>
<td>Preservation error</td>
<td>14.226</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other errors</td>
<td>57.396</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Failure to maintain a sequence</td>
<td>56.619</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attempt to complete the first pattern</td>
<td>24.189</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.567</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Apnea-hypopnea index in Combined treatment 29%, CPAP ABOUT 37% and in control group about 34% of people with 5-15, apnea-hypopnea index in Combined treatment 33%, CPAP ABOUT 35% and in control group about 29% of the apnea-hypopnea index were 15-30 and Apnea-hypopnea index in Combined treatment 20%, CPAP about 42% and in control group about 38% of people were over 30.

According to the results, 85% of combined treatment the top 20 PLMS and 15 percent were under 20 PLMS. In the CPAP group, 79% of the top 20 PLMS and 26% under 20 PLMS. In the control group, 74% of the top 20 PLMS and 21% under 20 PLMS.

The tables in the attachment concern apneahypopnea index, PLMS index score, O2 saturation index, polysomnography results of the duration and quality of sleep, respiratory events, oximetry, snoring and arousal index, and PLMD in the case and control groups.

According to the results, 85% of Combined treatment 20%, CPAP about 35% and in control group about 38% of people were over 30.

After ascertaining that the three groups had a normal distribution in terms of sleep efficacy (as shown by KS test), we used independent samples t-test to compare the results. It was revealed that the average sleep efficacy of the three groups have a significant difference (P < 0.05).

Since KS test did not provide a normal SOL distribution, the Mann-Whitney test was instead deployed to compare the results. Accordingly, no significant difference was seen in the average SOL of the three groups (p= 0.400).

### Table 5. Bonferroni test comparing follow up mean of the indices studied in the three groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Combination therapy with medical treatment</th>
<th>Combination therapy with control group</th>
<th>Combination therapy with control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>Mean Difference</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>Mean Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop Test</td>
<td>Correct answers (phase 1)</td>
<td>.727</td>
<td>.206</td>
<td>.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correct answers (phase 2)</td>
<td>.166</td>
<td>.380</td>
<td>.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correct answers (phase 3)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.059</td>
<td>.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (phase 1)</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (phase 2)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (phase 3)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>2.328</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Stroop test</td>
<td>Correct answers (list of related words)</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>1.583</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance test</td>
<td>Correct answers (list of unrelated)</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>-.033</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (list of related words)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>-.036</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaction time (list of unrelated words)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.067-</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average reaction time</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>-.712</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Error of commission</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>-.881</td>
<td>.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Error of omission</td>
<td>.944</td>
<td>.337</td>
<td>.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Completed classes</td>
<td>.123</td>
<td>-.887</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preservation error</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>-2.007</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other errors</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Failure to maintain a sequence</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>-1.312</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6. Apnea hypopnea index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AH1</th>
<th>Combined treatment</th>
<th>CPAP</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-15</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7. PLMS index score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLMS</th>
<th>Combined treatment</th>
<th>CPAP</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8. O2 saturation index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average O2 saturation</th>
<th>Combined treatment</th>
<th>CPAP</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90-95mild hypoxia</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85-90 moderate hypoxia</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;85 sever hypoxia</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As the OSA of the three groups was normal on the basis of the KS test (p > 0.05), T test was utilized to compare the three OSAs. The results suggest a significant difference in the average OSA of the groups (p < 0.05). Given that the CSA of the three groups was not normal as indicated by the KS test (p < 0.05), we employed the Mann-Whitney test in order to compare the three CSAs. Based on the conducted tests, no significant difference was observed in the average CSA of the two groups (p > 0.05).

Since MSA of the three groups was not normal as demonstrated by the KS test (p < 0.05), Mann-Whitney test was chosen to compare the MSA of the two cases and control groups. The tests did not, however, show a significant difference in the average MSA of the two groups (p > 0.05).

After it was indicated that the three groups had a normal hypopnea distribution (as shown by KS test), we used independent samples t-test to compare the results of the three groups, and it was proven that the average number of hypopneas of the three groups did not have a significant difference (p > 0.05). Similarly, once the normal distribution of AHI of the three groups was observed (using KS test), independent samples t-test was employed to compare the results. Accordingly, a significant difference was noted in the average AHI of the three groups (p < 0.05).

Since the RDI of the three groups was not normal (as shown by KS test) (p < 0.05), Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the results. The tests did not, however, show a significant difference in the average RDI of the three groups (p > 0.05).

### Table 9. Polysomnography results of the duration and quality of Sleep in the case and control groups (Follow up)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Combined treatment</th>
<th>CPAP</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sleep onset latency (min.)</td>
<td>21.40 ± 19.91</td>
<td>19.20±13.62</td>
<td>13.29 ± 16.91</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep quality (%)</td>
<td>84.77 ± 10.62</td>
<td>82.36±10.43</td>
<td>79.91 ± 10.33</td>
<td>0.0561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 Phase (%)</td>
<td>15.96 ± 17.73</td>
<td>17.65±16.42</td>
<td>23.85 ± 18.81</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2 Phase (%)</td>
<td>52.42 ± 16.87</td>
<td>53.17±13.81</td>
<td>55.15 ± 14.36</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3 Phase (%)</td>
<td>22.80 ± 14.62</td>
<td>17.94±13.02</td>
<td>14.87 ± 10.61</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REM Phase (%)</td>
<td>11.15 ± 7.52</td>
<td>10.18±7.52</td>
<td>7.25 ± 7.52</td>
<td>0.003**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of REM</td>
<td>3.77 ± 1.62</td>
<td>4.4±1.96</td>
<td>3.21 ± 1.58</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REM onset latency (min.)</td>
<td>121.67 ± 69.21</td>
<td>110.02±62.43</td>
<td>108.12 ± 58.40</td>
<td>0.974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** P < 0.05 values were considered significant.

### Table 10. Polysomnography results of respiratory events in the case and control groups (Follow up)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obstructive Sleep Apnea (N)</td>
<td>16.51 ± 30.85</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sleep Apnea (N)</td>
<td>10.63 ± 23.63</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix Sleep Apnea (N)</td>
<td>3.94 ± 8.03</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypopneas (N)</td>
<td>64.35 ± 48.37</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apnea-Hypopnea Index (Number/hour)</td>
<td>17.93 ± 17.24</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory Disturbance index (Number/hour)</td>
<td>27.03 ± 24.40</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** P < 0.05 values were considered significant.

Since KS test did not show a normal average of O2 saturation for the two groups (p < 0.05), Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the average O2 saturation. This time, however, the tests revealed a significant difference in the average O2 saturation of the three groups (p = 0.047). Because KS test did not yield a normal average for the lowest O2 saturation of the groups (p < 0.05), Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the average lowest O2 saturation in the three groups. Nevertheless, the tests displayed a significant difference in the average lowest O2 saturation of the three groups (p > 0.05).

### Discussion

The main purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of combination therapy in improving the cognitive skills of patients on follow up. Regarding the two indices of “correct answers (phase 1)” and “correct answers (phase 2),” it was found that the mean for combined treatment group was significantly higher than that of follow up group. However, there was no significant difference between the medical treatment and control group. Therefore, compared to medical treatment, combined treatment was more effective on the indices of “correct answers (phase 1)” and “correct answers (phase 2).” Nevertheless, it has to be noted that there was no significant difference between the mean of post-tests in the combined treatment and medical treatment groups. As for the indices of “reaction time (phase 1),” “reaction time (phase 2),” and “reaction time (phase 3),” it was indicated that both combined treatment and medical treatment had a significant impact, compared to the control group, and led to the improved performance of the subjects. Specifically, combined treatment showed a significantly better effect than medical treatment in the case at hand. Regarding the four indices of “correct answers (list of related words),” “correct answers (list of unrelated words),” “reaction time (list of related words),” and “reaction time (list of unrelated words),” we observed...
that both combined treatment and medical treatment displayed a significant effect, compared to the control group, and they clearly improved the subjects’ performance. Moreover, it was remarkable that combined treatment had a significantly better effect than medical treatment. Based on the indices of “average reaction time,” “commission error,” and “omission error,” subjects’ performance was better in both experimental groups than in the control group. Besides, combined treatment revealed a significantly greater performance than medical treatment.

This is in line with the results obtained by Nejati et al. (2012) based on which meditation and mindfulness helps the regulation of emotional states and increases activity in the forehead while decreasing it in amygdala and insole areas. However, in Mohlman and Gorman’s study (2005), the amount of error and response time of the subjects decreased with increased cognitive functions.

Also, Hezareie et al. (2013) displayed the effectiveness of CBT treatment in improving the reaction time of the samples [34].

With respect to the indices of “completed classes” and “preservation error,” we found that subjects’ performance was significantly better in both experimental groups compared to the control group. Even so, although the performance of the combined treatment group was slightly better than the medical treatment group, this did not reveal a significant difference. With regards to the three indices of “other errors,” “failure to maintain a sequence,” and “attempt to complete the first pattern,” it was demonstrated that both combined treatment and medical treatment had a significant effect, compared to the control group, in enhancing the subjects’ performance. The combined treatment had a significantly better impact than medical treatment in this regard as well. The result of this part of the study is consistent with the research by Fazeli et al. (2015) that confirmed the effect of cognitive-behavioral therapy on cognitive flexibility. In order to achieve cognitive flexibility, one must understand the complexity of the problem and frequently examine the problem, which requires a higher level of cognition, and mostly a patient with interruption is unable to solve these problems.

According to the results of this study, it seems that, in explaining the effectiveness of cognitive-behavioral therapy, training the skills of cognitive-behavioral therapy is helpful in terms of some executive functions such as attention, reaction time, problem-solving strategies, and control of impulsive behaviors; an objective that can be attained through improving cognitive functioning or increasing cognitive abilities of individuals involved. Given that cognitive functions can be seriously impaired due to apnea, one may compensate for the defects resulting from the disease by properly taking advantage of cognitive-behavioral treatment as a regular therapeutic activity built upon cognitive principles. This seems an important suggestion because frequent sleep apneas might damage the performance of central neural system and the implementation of many cognitive tasks. Thus, it is proposed that if one effectively learns cognitive control skills and sleep hygiene strategies, his neural system and cognitive performance will improve accordingly. This is due to the fact that one of the goals of cognitive-behavioral treatment is to make life style interventions so as to enhance recovery and increase cognitive performance.

Shiri et al. (2016) demonstrated that cognitive-behavioral therapy improves the health and quality of sleep in patients. In the same vein, in Mothghi’s research (2016), cognitive-behavioral therapy improved the general quality of sleep in the elderly who showed signs of sleep disorder. Abdolahi et al. (2015) also proposed that CBT is effective in treating insomnia in patients.

Our research is comparable with Dastani et al.’s study (2011) which compared drug therapy and behavioral therapy in women with insomnia. Based on their findings, both psychological and medical interventions could improve sleep quality and insomnia. Comparisons between the two kinds of interventions showed that psychological interventions are more effective than medical intervention.

Although the CBT treatment basically focuses on changing the content of thought and cognitive processes. Practically the major emphasis in our treatment was on a number of central issues related to patients’ daily life such as sleep hygiene, emotional self-regulation, increasing cognitive flexibility, and controlling as well as coordinating behavior.

**Conclusion**

The study revealed that both medical approach and combined treatment are effective in treating apnea symptoms. However, upon comparison, it was observed that the efficacy of combined treatment was significantly greater than medical treatment in follow up.

Indeed, despite the benefits of cognitive-behavioral therapy in improving sleep quality, sleep disorders are often addressed by physicians, and psychologists only occasionally deal with them. Notwithstanding the psychological complications of this disorder, seldom are sleep medicine specialists familiar with the methods of treatment. Thus, it appears that increasing the knowledge of specialists with regard to the efficacy of cognitive-behavioral methods and encouraging psychologists to cooperate with physicians at sleep clinics will lead to obtaining better results in treating sleep disorders. Besides, one may use this method in sleep clinics as a complement to medical treatment.
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